# Appendix 2

Risk Area "There is a risk that"	Analysis of Risk "Which will result in"	Туре	Assessment of Risk original score in brackets			Management Actions Implemented or Planned (in bold)		essment of	Responsible Officer	
							aft	er mitigation		
			Impost	Probabilit	Rating		Impost	Probabilit	Rating	
	Unwanted facilities, expensive but		Impact	У	Rating	Ongoing monitoring of proposals, respond to consultations and review as part of new	Impact	У	Rating	
Recycling targets and environmental	sustainable methods of managing waste					contracts. Continue to attend CIWM events and monitor industry commentary and				
legislation will weaken post Brexit		Political	4 (5)	3 (4)	12 (20)	reiterate west London Boroughs intent to hit 50% target.	4	1	4	Managing Director
			(-/	- ( )	( - /					J 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
	Inappropriate actions, unnecessary					Scrutiny processes in place for reporting, reviewing and checking of any financial data by				
Authority decisions may be based on	costs, challenge from an interested					Officers. Borough officers consulted on all draft papers for financial and technical				
inaccurate or incomplete information	party and impact on reputation	Political	5	2	10	comment. Policy for handling conflicts of interest involving Members and/or Officers.	5	1	5	Managing Director
						Ongoing review of contingency arrangements on each contract quarterly / annually as				
1	Door convice to the Doroveha vising the					required. PPP contract used contingency arrangements during commissioning. Holding				
One or more of the wester treatment	Poor service to the Boroughs using the					regular meetings with contractors and monitor KPIs as appropriate. Regular				
One or more of the waste treatment and disposal contracts will perform	sites or needing material to be removed from site. Complaints about nuisance					communication with Boroughs about service issues. Service monitoring and market information, reports on credit changes monitored. Credit checks and a review of				
poorly or a single event will result in a	e.g. odour or pests. Increased cost of					accounts are routinely undertaken for new contracts and considered for contract				
need for business continuity planning.		Political	5	2	10	extensions.	5	1	5	Contracts Manager
need for business continuity planning.	Tranding materials	Tollical	J J		10	Internal management team meetings, Chief Officer's meetings, Borough Partnership	<u> </u>	'	3	Contracts Manager
						meetings and review of Authority papers. Audit Committee established with internal and				
WLWA is not managed in accordance	Inappropriate decision making, failure to					external audit governance framework. Key performance indicators are reported to the				
with policies and procedures or the	meet objectives and impact on					Authority. Borough officers consulted on all draft papers for financial and technical				
policies and procedures are not robust.	reputation	Political	5	2	10	comment.	5	1	5	Managing Director
										<u> </u>
						Internal audit plan in place. Policies and procedures in place including arrangements for				
						checking contracts and invoices. Segregation of duties between authorisation and				
						checking of payments. Robust arrangements in place to control payments. Register of				
						assets maintained. Processes in place for the monitoring of ad hoc contracts, contract				
	Internal fraud by an employee or					management and negotiations. Whistle blowing policy. Standing Orders. 2015 Internal				
WLWA financial processes are not	contractor, bad information resulting in					audit assurance Procurement fraud training rolled out in 2016 and declarations of				Head of Finance
robust	wrong decisions	Economic	5 (4)	2	10 (8)	interest extended to all staff involved in procurement.	4	1	4	and Performance
						Budget processes reviewed and monthly reporting demonstrating consistent				
						performance. Budgets built from the bottom up with input and validation of data from				
						boroughs. Boroughs nominate number of tonnes for PAYT budget for collected tonnes.				
						Prudent levels of reserves are maintained to act as a buffer against any unforeseen risks				
There will be unforeseen financial costs						and financial costs. Budget plan takes into account quantifiable risks. Where appropriate				Head of Finance
not covered by balances	An in-year levy to the Boroughs	Economic	4	3	12	budgets are set with contingencies for identified risks.	3	1	3	and Performance
,	Inadequate cover to meet the costs of			_		and got a second control of the second contr				
	future claims, increasing difficulty in					There is an annual review with brokers and insurers to review adequacy of policies,				
WLWA insurance cover will be	obtaining competitive quotes for waste					claims history and premiums and options. Regular updates from insurer and broker				Head of Finance
insufficient	industry facilities	Economic	5	3	15	advising of newpolicies.	5	1	5	and Performance
	Insufficient readily accessible cash to									
	meet spending commitments resulting in					Cash planning in place. Processes in place to make payments swiftly, within minutes if				
	financial penalties, legal claims and poor					necessary. Cash balances maintained to cover delays in borough transactions. 3 day				
Funds (cash) are not managed	reputation. Poor rate of return on				40	turnaround time for calling down funding from investments. Opportunities to improve	•		0	Head of Finance
effectively	investments.	Economic	4	4	16	returns are reported to Chief Officers/Authority e.g. office procurement In-house checks of invoices by both operational and financial managers in place.	3	1	3	and Performance
						In-nouse checks of invoices by both operational and financial managers in place.  Independent audit of contractor's payment model. In depth contract knowledge of				Head of
The contract navment mechanisms are	Payment delays, under or overpayments					Sharpe Pritchard solicitors and PwC financial advisers. Monthly contract meetings,				Finance and
not properly understood or ambiguous	or disputes	Economic	5 (4)	3	15 (12)	training and familiarisation with payment mechanisms	5 (4)	2	10 (8)	Performance
not properly understood or ambiguous	or dioputes	20011011110	J (7)		10 (12)	taning and familiansation with payment medianisms	○ (¬ <i>)</i>		10 (0)	i Giloiniance
	Uncontracted material streams may not									
	be budgeted accurately, Falling scrap									
	metal prices could lead to more									
There will be fluctuations in material	abandoned vehicles for disposal,					Regular monitoring of all material markets and sharing information with Boroughs.				
value due to recycling and raw material	Boroughs cannot rely on a regular /					- 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15 - 15				
market forces		Economic	3	3	9		3	2	6	Contracts Manager
	Ineffective day to day management of		<del>                                     </del>							30aotomanagor
	the Authority, poor service delivery,					Recruitment policies, succession planning, cover/interim arrangements and other				
The loss of or absence of a key	contract management and long term					procedures limit impact on business continuity. New management structure largely in				
member of theteam	planning	Economic	3	3	9	place	2	2	1	Managing Director

IT systems are insecure or suffer a major failure	Loss of data which we are obliged to report, or without which we cannot invoice or operate effectively	Economic	4 (5)	4	16 (20)	ICT service is delivered by LB Ealing and subject to a wide range of back-up and security measures including remote storage and performance to an agreed service level standards. An IT strategy is in place and IT requirements are regularly reviewed. LBE unable to provide service long term. New arrangements to replace LBE identified in IT report. Project resource and management to mitigate any risks.	4	1	4	Head of Finance and Performance
	The contracted capacity does not match actual treatment requirement resulting in					Regular monitoring of waste flows and data patterns. Contracts with suitable				
The waste flows are constantly	ineffective waste management					flexibility/capacity. Liaison with boroughs for service changes, highlighting risks during				
changing	arrangements	Social	5	3	15	the budget setting and budget monitoring.	4	1	4	Contracts Manager
The need for local facilities will be rejected by the planning process	Protracted and expensive planning applications, bad will from the local community and failure to ensure availability of ideal infrastructure	Social	4	4 (5)	16 (20)	Adopting appropriate project management approach will include early engagement with community liaison groups, robust site analysis and multiple options cost analysis. Careful selection well managed planning authorities. Discussions with neighboring WDAs	4	3	12	Contracts Manager
We are reliant on one member of staff for the access database	Being unable to administer/support our core IT system (developed by that member of staff), the Access waste data management system (used for checking invoices, submitting waste dataflow returns, providing management information).	Technological	5	3	15	Documented procedures allow continued day to day use of the system and the procurement of Opensky data management system with fully supported maintenance will mitigate this risk further. New system processes tested and working. Awaiting reports.	4	2	8	Head of Finance and Performance
WLWA Borough data is not being viewed holistically	A disjointed approach. Failure to capitalise on opportunity. Additional cost. A continuing disjointed approach. The Boroughs will fail to meet the 50% recycling composting target by 2010	Technological	5	3	15	Data is viewed from an Authority perspective and ensures operations are effective for the Authority. However a more holistic view of data across all boroughs will facilitate better partnership working. Information needs documented. New project identified in Business plan.	4	2	8	Head of Finance and Performance
There will be a change in law relevant to our contracts	Unanticipated cost for the Authority	Legislative	4	4	16	Legislative changes are identified i.e. which affect EfW or transfer station operations, an incineration tax or change in classification to hazardous waste and are prepared for accordingly. Widp meetings are attended to gather from/share knowledge with other disposal authorities. Where possible costs will be built into the budgeting process or reported through budget monitoring and dealt with through reserves.  A Memorandum of Unerstanding (MoU) with boroughs and the availability of HRRC sites	4	2	8	Contracts Manager
DCLG will challenge our HRRC provision or charging policy	Reputational damage, court action or a fine	Legislative	3	3	9	demonstrates performance of the statutory role. However the MoU expired in 2015 and charging policies across boroughs are disperate. New project identified in the business plan for HRRCs.	1	2	2	Operations Manager
						Range of processes including internal daily and weekly monitoring. Review operations				
Environmental damage will be caused	Increased cost of repair, potential fines,		_	_		risks. Review procurement policy. Monitor contractor's environmental performance and	_			Operations
by Authority or Contractor Activities	reputational damage	Environmental	5	2	10	reporting.	5	1	5	Manager
There will be a breach in Health & Safety at an Authority or Contractor site	Risk of injury to staff or public visitors to Authority sites	Environmental	5	2	10	Specialist Health and Safety Advice from LB Hounslow. 2015 Internal audit provided assurance. 2016/17 Action Plan considered and agreed with GMB. Monitor contractor's health and safety performance and reporting. A range of fire prevention/precaution measures are in place at site including fire risk assessments. Losses are also covered by insurance policies.	5	1	5	Operations Manager

## Risk Rating

#### Impact Classification

	Service disruption	Financial Loss	Reputation	Failure to provide statutory service/meet legal obligations	People
Extreme 5	Total failure of service	Over £5m	National publicity > than 3 days. Resignation of leading member of chief officer	Multiple civil or criminal suits. Litigation, claim or fine above £5m	Fatality of one of more clients/staff
Very high 4	Serious disruption to service	£500k-£5m	National public or press interest	Litigation, claim or fine £500k-£5m	Serious injury. Permanent disablement of one of more clients/staff
Medium 3	Disruption to service	£50k-£500k	Local public/press interest	Litigation, claim or fine £50k-£500k	Major injury to individual
Low 2	Some minor impact on service	£5k-£50k	Contained within department	Litigation, claim or fine £5k-£50k	Minor injuries to several people
Negligible 1	Annoyance but does not disrupt service	< £5k	Contained within unit/section	Litigation, within claim or fine less than £5k	Minor injury to an individual

#### Likelihood Classification

- 5. Almost Certain Expected to occur in most circumstances (>80%)
- Likely Will probably occur in most circumstances (51%-80%)
   Possible Fairly likely o occur (21%-50%)

- Unlikely Could occur at some time (6%-30%)
   Rare May occur only in exceptional circumstances (0-5%)

### Risk Rating/Scoring = Impact\*Likelihood. Prioritisation of Risks

20-25 (Red)	Those risks requiring immediate management and monitoring
9-19 (Amber)	Those risks requiring management and monitoring but less time critical
1-8 (Green)	Those risks which require ongoing monitoring